

**Minutes of EC Meeting held on 27.11.2018 at IOACON, Venue,
Coimbatore on 27.11.2018**

1. Dr. Mandeep Dhillon welcomes all the EC members for the EC meeting

2. Obituary: Two minutes silence was observed by all the EC Members on the death. of followings members:

Dr. Ranjit Ghosh of Patna, Dr. Kulendra Mukherjee, Kolkatta, Dr. Shailendra Bhattachary, Kolkata, Dr. Shanto Kumar, Kolkatta, Dr. Babu Undekar, Hubli, Dr. Verghese Chacko, Dr. PSSV Prasad, Andhra Pradesh, Dr. Venugopal Nair, Kerala, Dr. AR Baccha and Dr. JS Chavana of Mumbai (Need to check whether they are member or not)

3. Confirmation of the minutes of meetings: First objection raised by Dr. Sanjay Jain that EC cannot discuss minutes of GB meeting.

Dr. Atul Srivastava replied that whatever is discussed in GBM, we want to see that whether it is properly minuted or not.

Dr. Dhillon replied that it is to be confirmed that whatever minutes have been sent to the executives, is there any change or missing. This is just to confirm.

Second objection was raised by Dr. Sanjay Jain that why Dr. Sudhir Kapoor was made a part of enquiry committee when the EC has an objection for that. He also said that you can check in the video also.

Dr. Dhillon said that Dr. Ram Prabhoo was not available, hence Dr. Sudhir Kapoor was requested to be part of committee as he is from Delhi and communication becomes faster. He strongly said that you have to understand that it was not an objection of EC for including the name of Dr. Sudhir Kappor but it was just a suggestion from one of the EC member, Dr. Pratyush Chatterjee and it was taken. But you need to understand also that objection of one single member should not be taken as objection of EC. Now you can see in the video, if you want. It was never the decision of EC.

Third objection raised by Dr. Sanjay Jain that I was not invited in the EC meeting of 28.7.2018.

Dr Mandeep Dhillon replied that Dr. Sanjay Jain emailed him that I want a security and I replied him that there is no arrangement of security and it is advised not to attend the meeting.

Dr. Sanjay Jain said that it was for April meeting and not for July meeting.

Dr. Dhillon said that it was applicable for July meeting also because the matter was still on.

On this Dr. Sanjay Jain said that I wrote that I want to attend the meeting..

Dr. Dhillon replied that only the concerned committee representatives were invited.

Dr. Sanjay Jain replied that there was one page discussion on me and it was written there that EC recommends to remove the name of Dr. Sanjay Jain

Dr. Dhillon replied that the decision was unanimous and you can see the recording. But he stated decision cannot be taken until you are allowed to be present to put your version, and you are welcome to do so now.

Dr. Moahn Mantri said that in 28th July meeting Dr. Dhillon said that it was wrong on the part of Dr. Sanjay Jain to throw allegations on IOA and it was unanimously passed by EC that Dr. Sanjay Jain should not hold any post in IO A. So why Dr. Sanjay Jain was allowed to fight the elections. Dr. Mohan Mantri said that once this decision was taken by EC why it was not implemented. To that Dr. Dhillon replied though the decision was unanimously taken but the EC has not the authority to pass it till it is passed in the GBM.

Dr. Mantri said that Dr. Raza said that such members who defame IOA can be temporarily suspended by EC but even though he was allowed to fight for the highest post of association, although he should be debarred.

Dr. Sudhir Kapoor said that it is a major decision and suspending someone cannot be taken without passing in the GBM.

Dr. Samir Agarwal also said that any such decision has to be passed through GBM.

Third objection by Dr. Mantri that you did not reply to my mails in which I suggested that grievances should be taken first then the result of elections

should be declared. Dr. Dhillon and he had agreed that it will be taken before the declaration of the results.

Dr. Sanjay Jain said that my letter of cyber crime has not been actioned and included in agenda. Dr. Sanjay Jain also said that the minutes of meeting were not sent to the EC members and were not approved by them. He also pointed out that there was some suspicious activity found from Rajasthan to which Dr. Dhillon replied that this informed to enquiry committee. Dr. Ajmani commented that let EC take decision today regarding this matter. He also said that both the parties to be given chance to represent themselves. Dr. Dhillon said that we are not here to create issues and we have to pacify all and remain together. Dr. Pratyush Chatterjee said that in last few years only one member was suspended and it was for valid reasons and before taking such decisions we have to be very sure about the gravity of the mistake. Dr. Atul said that the last person who was suspended did not harm the association, It was his personal issue, but Dr. Sanjay Jain is constantly damaging the reputation of IOA so the house needs to decide which is the heinous crime. Dr. Dhillon said that with the above objections we pass the minutes of the meeting.

4. Hon. Secretary Report: The Hon. Secretary Dr. Atul Srivastava read out his report and ended with thanks and congratulated organizing team of IOACON 2018.

Dr. Sanjay Jain objected regarding the Dr. P Kanabar memorial award that in 2015 Jaipur conference it was decided that award will be given to Past Secretary of IOA and the same was passed in GM 2016 conference. And now it is a new trend that the same award is given for the another category i.e. sports medicine.

Dr. Dhillon said that it is to be given to secretary for what; just being a secretary one should not get an award. Dr. Atul replied that it was discussed in both the last EC meetings when Dr. Jamal proposed that being a secretary in itself is an honour, and also you don't require monetary honourarium for the same. To this Dr. Atul replied I spoke to Dr. Kanabar asking his opinion on this. He said that I never said that give it to a past secretary, I said, give it to some meritorious youngster. Dr. Rajasekaran and Dr. Dhillon had also a talk with him that it is to be given to a fellow from sports medicine. Hence this was taken. Dr. Sanjay Jain said that it is against the decision of GBM. Dr. Dhillon said that we are taking this point to the GBM and also Dr. S Rajasekaran has voluntarily refused to accept the automatic award to the past president and said that it

should be discontinued so we will be taking both these matters to GBM. Dr. Pratyush added that when it was proposed and passed that past secretary should get this award, even then it looked very awkward.

5. Treasurer's Report: Dr. Manish Dhawan, presented Treasurer report. He said that as promised earlier, we have merged IJO accounts with IOA accounts. Dr. Manish Dhawan said that we all agree that we need to pay Agra Orthopaedic Society an amount of Rs. 10 Lac as it was pending since 2004 and the same was passed in recent EC also. But it has to be done that Agra Orthopaedic Society has to pay balance amount of contribution i.e. 7,37,773/- and then IOA has to pay Rs. 10 Lac. On this Dr. Dhillon said that why don't we pay rest amount, on this Dr. Manish said that it cannot be done because there will be accounting problem. Dr. Manish Dhawan said that he has received mail from Dr. Sanjay Chaturvedi questioning that why IOA is giving Rs. 3.55 lac to APOA. Dr. Atul said it was already discussed in last EC and Dr. Jamal already answered this question when objected by Dr. Taneja. This has been discussed and passed in the GBM. If you want to discuss it further, the same can be discussed later with Jamal when he comes. Dr. Dhillon added that this is the decision of the GBM. Dr. Sanjay Chaturvedi also said that there is a too much increase of salary of IOA staff for which Dr. Manish Dhawan said that IJO has been merged with IOA. The salary of IJO staff i.e. 5.20 Lac and 2.76 Lac of secretary of Dr. Sanjay Jain. Dr. Dhillon said we will be discussing this matter when we will discuss the letters of the members. Dr. Manish also said that we have a plan to increase the fund for fellowship. We have 26 Lac, out of which 20 Lac will be fixed deposited and remaining 6 lac will be liquid. Out of the FDs of IOA, one crore will be taken out and the interest of that one crore will be used for fellowship. Dr. Sudhir Kapoor suggested that there are 30 or 40 FDs of IOA and becomes very difficult to manage all of them. Therefore, it is better if we keep only 3 or 4 FDs. Dr. Naveen Thakkar objected on treasurer's report that if 19 lac which was supposed to come from IOACON 2017 should be shown as receivable and must not be included in the balance sheet. This was supported by Dr. Samir Aggarwal also. To this Dr. Dhillon suggested the treasurer that from next time, the balance sheet will Only show the amount which has been received and the amount which is not received can be shown in next financial year. Such transactions should be completed as early as possible to prevent the confusion. He also said that Agra matter is still lingering on. Dr. Atul suggested that Agra Orthopaedic Society to pay 7,37,773/- to IOA and then IOA will pay 10 Lac to Agra Orthopaedic Society. Dr. Atul pointed out that since Dr.

Sanjay Dhawan, senior member of Agra Orthopaedic Society and Joint Secretary of IOA is present here, he will ensure that he will solve this issue. On this Dr. Dhillon said that why to linger on this? You pay 10 Lac to Agra and they should pay 7,37,773/-. Dr. Lal Chandani suggested that RTGs can be done and we need not to wait for cheques.

6. Editor's Report: To be taken from Dr. Dhammi

7. Final Financial Report: IOA was to received Rs. 1.07 crore from IOACON 2017 of which 77 lac has already been paid and Rs. 6 Lac has been transferred 2 hrs back. And Rs. 5 Lac cheque has been handed over to the Secretary in the EC meeting. The rest 19 Lac balance will be given by MP Chapter in due course of time. To this Dr. Atul commented that he already had a word with the present President of MP Chapter Dr. RK Jain and Secretary Dr. Saket Jatti and they have assured to give this 19 Lac amount to IOA. Dr. Samir said that if the defaulter chapter does not fulfill this then it should be blacklisted as the MoU is signed by the IOA and the chapter. Dr. Atul thanked the organizers of IOACON Indore for their kind gesture.

8. Report of IOACON 2018: Dr. Rajasekaran gave thanks to Dr. Nateshan and Dr. Muralidharan and entire team of IOACON 2018, Coimbatore and President Dr. MS Dhillon and Dr. Atul Srivastava, Hon. Secretary, IOA for constant support. He further informed that we have 260 registration for PG course initially but we have tremendous spot registration making the figure to 530 total registrations. He further informed that workshop is running in 9 halls. CME registration more than 1600 and delegate registration 4700 and 700 registration for trade people and rest including spouse the total registration is around 7500. We have 43 international faculty. We have already given 30 Lakhs in the last EC Meeting and the rest and we have a plan to give rest of the share of the IOA for the conference in the GBM itself.

9. Progress and preparation report of IOACON 2019:

10. IOA President theme – 2019: Roots of responsibility

Dr. Rajesh Malhotra said that we should carry on the work of the previous president . My theme for this year is “Roots of responsibility” and “Wings of professionalism”. We can do this by augmenting the training. He had already identified the training centres. We have to improve by increasing the number of such centres.

We need to have online CMEs and webinars, train the trainer programme. Dr. Rajesh said that he has already many offers from various organizations, NGOs to help in conducting such programmes. He emphasized that IOA should have online material which can be accessed by IOA Members. For this he need to have help from new committees and also the funding from various agencies. As we are having online voting system, similarly we should have online teaching modules, we should not teach only orthopaedics but should also teach skills, compassion and communication. For this we should have targeted courses. For research and publications there should be awards for publications depending upon the quality of paper and the journal in which it is published under 40 and over 40 years. IOA is already having it.

I also propose that there should be special scientific session in IOA to present 10 best papers. We should also have the directory of publications; the manuscript should be present for the members on the website.

Other dream is for traumacon training in trauma has to be guided by IOA. I want to have trauma committee, one person from each zone and that person should be responsible for conducting regular regional traumacon and he can take help from other surgeons outside the zone. One thing is lacking is multidisciplinary research and patient care. So we need to have more presence of orthopaedic surgeons in paediatrics, rheumatology. Conferences and similarly our IOACON should have dedicated special session of specialities e.g. rheumatology, paediatric etc. Last thing we have to tell our members to excel locally for global recognition. We need to expand our network and in that we need to have international exchange programme. We have enough courses and people have to come forward. This will put us in global map. E.g. we are having webinar with international collaboration seminars webinars in cities with AAOS so we need to expand our horizon because this is the best teaching in which you do not have to travel. Second half of my dream is roots of responsibility, build a bridge to the community. We are getting very negative publicity and he quoted Indian experts. Writing an article on shady business regarding implants.

We have also reached to the government for community bone health. We have grown up seeing that B-complex and Folic Acid is given to pregnant ladies. Why can't we give community people calcium and vitamin D3.

It is as simple as intervention and this costs nothing.

We are working with government for Vit-D fortification of milk. We had a great move regarding CTEV. He has discussed with Dr. Manish and Dr. Atul that we have to fix club foot and also school screening programmes for scoliosis.

We also need to discuss with the government regarding “Disaster preparedness response. He has identified different zones and every cities. We helped in Kerala floods. Similarly IOA is committed to lend a hand to the government in call of some natural calamity and I want volunteers and we will give telephone numbers to the government and in case of natural calamity that these are the people who will attend and there will be central team. This will be called disaster response team.

The other thing which is important is the advocacy. A lot of international associations have a team for this but we have none. But only one on club foot which has started recently. But as I said bone health in community specially in geriatrics. Elderly people die and get disabled. We have actually prepared the document already and have gone to government to tell that how at District hospital level. Additional Secretary has promised him.

Advocacy for school screening, trauma care which is missing in our country. We will go to government to provide free trauma hospital care.

Fragility fracture network in India: FFN is a global organisation formed 7 years back. It guides how to approach for rehabilitation, function and fracture prevention.

We have formed the FFN India and is endorsed by IOA also. This basically implements multidisciplinary systematic approach to fragility fracture care function, prevention and treatment without further delay. Therefore FFN India website is established. In addition to the professional excellence it has to be responsible to the community needs something called cross initiative community responsive orthopaedics surgeon.

Ethical practice is very important. We need to rejuvenate this ethics committee, so many researches are being carried out without any control. During this year I want to continue. What Dr. Dhillon started about mentoring the youth and change the word but then the biggest thing I want to rely on synergy.

Performance: Potential internal interference

We have to discipline ourselves. We have to stop ourselves in stopping ourselves.

Again roots and wings is chosen because I want every member to feel sense of belongingness.

11. CSRC Report: To be taken from Dr. Dhiren Singh / Dr. Ramesh Babu : Dr. Atul Srivastava announced the awards and congratulated all the state chapters as 18 chapters participated for the award. He said that by seeing the CSRC report you can make out that members all around the country have become very active and are participating actively. He announced for Jhunjhunwala trophy for this year goes to Bihard Orthopaedic Association and since we decided last year we will be giving award for runner up team also, the runner up for this year are Puddicherry. I am very happy to see that small clubs are coming up. Regarding AK Gupta trophy the four star goes to DOA and 5 star goes to UPOA and runner up is Kerala. The winner of AK Gupta Trophy are joint winners and those are Maharashtra Orthopaedic Association and TNOA.

Dr. Atul said It was so tough this year to decide for AK Gupta trophy. Chairman, Dr. R Chadha, Dr. Dharendra Singh, Dr. Ram Babu, Prof. Mandeep Dhillon and myself sat for an hour and could not find the defence between the two state chapters so we unanimously decided that this year towards AK Gupta trophy as a tie between TNOA and MOA.

Dr. Dharendra Singh raise a point regarding DOA election that they are following a trend that member has to go there at one designated place and voting is there. I feel this needs a change. In democracy a ballot paper or an online voting should be taken in. I request Delhi to work on it. Dr. Sudhir Kapoor said your point is valid and I will take it to DOA. Dr. Dhillon said that we will be writing a letter to them mentioning the same.

Dr. Ramesh Babu said that I want to bring some points into notice regarding AK Gupta trophy that Bone & Joint activity points are not given in the AK Gupta Trophy criteria. Dr. Atul said so from next year we will include this if you pass it. **Passed.**

Dr. Rajesh said that I have submitted all my activity with all my proof for the trophy in turn but it has not been considered. Dr. Ramesh Babu said that I had to go through it. Dr. Atul said that you can sit Dr. Ramesh Babu and Dr.

Dhirendra Singh and we can reconsider it and will then finally declare the names of the Jhunjhunwala trophy. If your matter is correct.

13A. Foreign fellowship of IOA: Dr. Jamal informed that Committee comprises of Dr. Jamal Ashraf, Dr. CS Yadav and Dr. John Mukhopadhyaya. Last year we received a total of 31 applications and this year we have received 52 applications, 2 were incomplete so all together we have to consider 50 applications. Marking was done separately by me and Dr. CS Yadav and was then sent to Mr. President and Secretary. **I am** not declaring now because the President and Secretary has not sent it back to me. But this is the complete thing **what we did. Just to give you a brief view.**

- (i) The IOA Dilip Sengupta fellowship still remains discontinued.
- (ii) The IOA Australia Orthopaedics Association Exchange fellowships – currently we have an Australian fellow in Coimbatore who is spending 6 days of hospital visitation in Ganga Hospital and he will be attending the IOACON and the Indian fellow will be attending the AOS 19th conference in Candida in October 2019. This fellowship occurs in alternate years.
- (iii) IOA Hongkong ambassadorships and the Singapore ambassadorships were the based on the principles of fellow attending to the congress. I have written to the president of both the associations to include some hospital visitation before that because just going and attending the congress and presenting the papers. IOA is spending so much of money on that. The Hongkong Association has accepted our proposal and they have to look into it because there is a need of a temporary registration for going in and scrubbing in hospital. So the Hongkong ambassador going next year will actually be having 3-5 days of hospital visitation in queen Victoria hospital and then attend the conference.

The IOA-UK junior fellowship: The fellow will be attending the BIOS congress in Hurdel Steel in June 2019 and the senior fellow will be attending BOA congress in Liverpool in Oct 2019.

Indo-Irish fellowship: There is some sort of discussion that we need to put forward in front of incoming President and Secretary cause the hosts in Dublin has actually indicated a mail to us when they say that costs are different now than what it was at that time when fellowship was started and the amount of

money being paid to the local body who goes there actually is not able to take care of basic minimum accommodation that is required as it is not so.

IOA arthroplasty fellowship was such that we were selecting one candidate and then asking that candidate to select a center and communicate to us. Later we did not have any quality control and also we did not know that where the fellow went and what sort of fellowship he is doing. So from this year I wrote to 4 centers and all these four have agreed and these are:

- (i) University of Malaya, Balrampur
- (ii) Medisara Hospital, Jakarta
- (iii) Cheroikom University, Bangkok
- (iv) Our Queen Victoria Hospital, Hongkong

All four have agreed to take our fellow so we will be giving option to the fellow but communicating ourselves with the place he selected. This way we will have a control.

IOA-Glasgow fellowship: For the last two years the problem faced for the English examination so now the host has recommended that it should be a prerequisite that one who is applying for the fellowship should have an IOGS exam cleared with a band of 7.5 or above in the past 3 years.

IOA Malaysia Exchange Fellowship: Currently the fellow is in Coimbatore in Ganga Hospital for a week and will be attending IOACON. I will also be presenting a paper the same process will be followed like the Association and the initial which is in the alternate year this will be every year. Rules we have is 1 wks hospital visits attending a conference and per presentation.

Malaysians have agreed that they will see the report of the fellow and we will also be sending the report and once the reports are received by each other then the final certificate will be given. So this is the new exchange fellowship.

The fellow Shiv is a hand surgeon and is currently working with Dr. Sabhapati in Ganga Hospital. She came here on 19th. In addition we are communicating with DOA because we had a discussion with them in Birmingham this year. The BOA is keen to start a fellowship with IOA and this has nothing to do with BIOS. This will be on the system that they will be sending fellows to India and we will be responding the fellows to UK. Basic principle being not attending the conference but training.

Dr. Dhillon said he had two points to discuss that - First I have been officially communicated by the President of Singapore Orthopaedic Association who will be coming tomorrow that our ambassador in Singapore for last 2 years has not been attending the congress at all and are going for tours. I feel this should be taken seriously.

Dr. Jamal said I have asked Singapore association and what they have Asian fellow, Indian fellow, Cambodian fellow and Hongkong fellow and we keep them altogether and mark them in different session. So out of these Indian fellow is present in the session where he is presenting and rest session he is absent. So SOS wants to discontinue. Dr. Dhillon said that he will ask SOS allow us this year we will see that this is not repeated. Now I want to put it as if the host association says that his presentation was unsatisfactory, we will not give him the stripen and the certificate. PASSED.

Second is the good news. I have been sent a letter by German Orthopaedic Association inviting India as Guest Nation in 2020 and I will be taking it to GB to accept as I have to inform them very soon.

As far invited guest nation for IOACON next year, Dr. Malhotra will take care. Dr. Rajesh Malhotra said that we are happy to inform that Australian Orthopaedic Association is our guest nation next year.

Dr. Atul asked Dr. Jamal that I want to know about the fellowships which have been discontinued.

Dr. Jamal said RCOST fellowship as discontinued and I wrote to them but did not reply but I am hoping to restart it hopefully because Dr. Tanwasey the current president more conducive to our things. They discontinued because o complaint from Chennai congress when they says that they were put on in some guest hours and they were not provided the travel and they ay tht when your fellow comes we were going, the accommodation which was similar to the faculty and the main hotel and we were providing the pickup and drop and you have not providing and you were disrespecting us so we want to discontinue.

I was the fellow in 2013 and the hospitality was excellent so I want to request you that we should also reciprocate similarly.

Dr. Dhillon said I think we will make since then we have to put guidelines that we need to give all the fellows the same what we are giving to the faculty. Dr. Sudhir Kapoor said it is already same before also.

13 (b) **Inland fellowship:** Dr. Dhillon said we may have to expand the committees. We may have to make sub-regional committees who will first assess and then take it up and we will take it to GB and the next EC will take that how we can do it. HeI also agreed that institutional and no-institutional fellowships needs to be separated and you can have separate criteria.

13 (e) Oncology report: Dr. Manish Agarwal said we have planned 5 CMEs on orthopaedic oncology and we have sponsors for them but what we need from you to issue a letter from IOA Office that IOA is supporting this activity.

13 (h) **IT Committee:** Dr. Naveen Thakkar said that all announcements and activities are going regularly.

13 (j) **Membership Deive Committee:** Dr. Bhuvan said that three states have achieved their target i.e. Tamin Nadu, Maharashtra and Bihar. We have 400 new members.

13 (k) **Basic Science and Orthopaedic & Research:** Dr. Ramesh Sen said survey on clavicle fracture was completed and now we have a plan to conduct a survey on implant removal and we want a permission for that which was done. He also add that for the security of our members he wants to come out with basic protocol for treatment of different injuries which will help our members for protecting them in the court in case of consumer forum issues. Dr. Dhillon suggested that you alongwith Dr. Rajesh Malhotra and Dr. Atul can take this up.

13 (l) **Rural and Social Orthopaedic Programme:** Dr. Rajesh Gupta said that we were committed to do plaster technique workshops one in July (Jammu) second in September (Hubli). Third was supposed to be done in Kochcon iwhich was cancelled due to flood in the area. The next is proposed in Feb 2019 in Amritsar. I request the state chapters to keep a slot in their state chapter in their annual conferences.

13 (m) **Ladies Cell of IOA:** Dr. Rujuta Mehta said that for the first time we are having a session in IOACON and we thank for that. We are having a theme based session on deformities write from spine to foot. We also encourage the lady members for presenting free papers in the session and we will be giving prize to the best PG who will have the best paper. She invited all the EC members to be available in the session.

14. Information about CME Programme: NEROSA is al set to start the CME of IOACON 2018 tomorrow nd hall is ready for all of you and our faculty is all

ready. This time our theme is Bone and Joint rationale of diagnosis and management. We initially started with 28 lectures but one of the faculty could not make it so now we will have 27 lectures. Out of these 2 lectures will be by deputy speaker. It will star with the pre-lecture of Dr. DK Taneja, our past president.

18. Investigation Committee Report: Regarding the complaint of Dr. Sanajy Jain was presented by Dr. SKS Marya. The committee was comprised of Dr. SKS Marya, Dr. Sudhir Kapoor and Dr. Anil Jain. The committee had gone through all the related issues. Dr. Marya pointed out that electoral process is a technical thing and many issues were beyond the competence of the enquiry committee. Per say the process of election nothing wrong was found but there were technical issues related to IT and such issue can only be tackled by the cyber cell or any similar body, to be decided by GBM. Dr. SKS Marya personally feels that such issues can be amicably tackled by discussion amongst ourselves and he will be the first one to offer his services for this kind work. To this Dr. Sanjay Jain said what about the cyber crime which was done? On that Dr. Dhillon said that we agree that some suspicion activity is there in IT for which we need the help of some expert for that we will be placing this matter to GB. He also concluded that the committee has given the clean chit to then Election Office Dr. Rajesh Malhotra and also the whole electoral process was fair. Dr. Rajesh Malhotra said I want to bring something to the notice of whole EC and then leave it to your wisdom to decide. I have kept quiet for quite sometime as I was accused and overall in-charge of electoral process. When I was asked I gave the explanation directly not on email or whats app. Today I stand here to say why Dr. Marya wants a committee from outside when Dr. Sanjay Jain has involved the court and police and filed an FIR. You tell me what are the basis of FIR against me? When you have not found anything against me? I am a Govt. servant and I was summoned if he does not have any faith in IOA that he has gone to court and police, what is IOA trying to do just to appraise him. What is an anti association activity, when you are filing the FIR against the elected body of the association. Isn't this is an anti association activity and the same association is trying to pacify him? We are saying that we are going to put a committee. What good committee going to do when he is going to the court and police? I want to ask EC that what is the regressal of my problem when FIR is filed against me without any solid evidence. He said that at DOACON last week senior person is taking oration and is putting a slide of an email saying that medical profession is suffering and govt is after us because

we are leveling allegations against each other. Until and unless we learn to discipline our members the thing is not to be solved. I am not talking about debarring but some disciplinary action needs to be taken when some member is behaving irresponsibly and filing an FIR against elected body. I want to ask EC what is their opinion on that? I want to ask EC about my redressal that I was summoned by SP of Jabalpur on two days notice. Is this the reward for the working for the association?

Dr. Dhillon said on that now we will take point No. 19 i.e. the activity against association - discussion and disciplinary action. Dr. Marya said that we believe what Dr. Malhotra said and even in the committee we had two letters from Dr. Sanjay Jain saying that he has full faith, confidence in Dr. Malhotra's working and character. But yes all these things which you have mentioned, they had been put in front of us we would have also thought in the same manner. Dr. Dhillon said that the committee had a specific role, and even I have been served with FIR, He has been served FIR and Dr. Atul has been served FIR. Dr. Sanjay Jain replied that FIR is not against the election officer Dr. Rajesh Malhotra, it is against Mr. Ramesh Pandey and Dr. RC Meena. As a routine proceeding of a crime branch they have taken the names of President, Secretary and Election Officer, but the FIR is against two persons only. As a legal approach, they have served FIR to everyone. House said it is not possible until unless you give the names. Dr. Jamal said don't give false evidences. Dr. Jamal said that if I filed a case against my wife, the court will not summon my father-in-law. Dr. Sanjay Jain said that it is routine procedure. The whole house objected to that it is not possible until unless Dr. Sanjay had given all the names.

Dr. Sameer asked that Dr. Jain has access to a list with election details. Who has given him the authority to know who has voted and who has not voted and how the list is with him? It is a secret document. It is my secrecy and or not voted. It is only because he was the past secretary and he has stolen the data from the office. Dr. Jain said that it is data of 1402 members whose data has been manipulated. Dr. Dhillon asked Dr. Sanjay Jain to answer his few questions on this point.

Q. 1. You first sent the mail in April about the electoral malpractice. Dr. Jain said it was in March. Dr. Dhillon said it may be March. It is written in the constitution that you can lodge a complaint against any electoral malpractice within the one month of declaration of election results. He asked him whether

he knows it or not? Yes or No. Dr. Jain said Yes. So your complaint was not applicable as it was more than 1 month old.

Q.2. But even though your complaint was taken notice of and the committee was formed within few days of that to tackle the Rajasthan issue . Yes or No. Dr. Jain said Yes.

Q 3. Despite the committee being formed, did you not lodge the FIR despite the fact EC was listening to you. When did you file an FIR? Dr. Jain said that in June. Dr. Bhuvan said that no it as on 5th May. Dr. Jain said IOA was not taking any action. Dr. Dhillon said that despite the IOA listening to you and the committee formed on 1st April, (you were part of that meeting) and you went ahead and file FIR on 5th May. Dr. Jain said that cyber crime report comes only in April so how can we says before that? Dr. Dhillon said that we have been constantly informing you about all the proceedings of the committee but on 10th Oct you filed a case in Delhi High Court in which you said even 2017 and 2018 elections are wrong. Despite his informing you that the committee has been working and will come out with the decision to be produced in front of GB. Despite the plea of Dr. Mohan Mantri, you were not suspended despite the whole EC deciding it unanimously. It was decided to take it to GB. The decision of committee is also to be taken to GB. So when we are not agreeing to Dr. Mohan Mantri's point, how can we agree to take your point immediately. Dr. Jain said I did it after waiting for five months. Dr. Dhillon said that we did not take action against you considering you as a part and parcel of IOA, but you did not considered the association as a part and parcel of you. Dr. Sanjay Jain said that why there was an agenda of Dr. Sanjay Jain why not Dr. RC Meena? Dr. Dhillon said that because you kept on sending mail to each and every member repeatedly. You were in hurry. Dr. Dhillon said that Delhi High Court told you that they will not hear your case, you may go to session court for this. You still did not stop and went to session court. Dr. Jain said that I took this step on the direction of High Court. Dr. Dhillon said that court did not tell you to file a case. It was your own decision. You knew that the committee decision will be taken to GB but till date you are constantly sending mails which you should not have done. Yes or No. Dr. Sanjay Jain said what about the cyber crime which has been done. Dr. Dhillon said that who told you that this point is not being considered. He further said that one parallel thing is going on which is Dr. L Prakash case. I do not know whether it is related to you or not but I am bring this here. I have a letter from Dr. L Prakash stating that I have a legal

right to be in IOA because Dr. Sanjay Jain has given me a letter in his capacity of Hon .Secretary , written to me that you are re-instated. Have you given any such letter to him? Yes or No. Dr. Sanjay Jain said No. Dr. Dhillon said that I want you to give this in writing.

Q 4. You have been slandering IOA about returned ballot (333). Dr. Jain said that no I am talking about 372 whose votes have been casted. Dr. Samir said that how come you know that these 372 members casted or not casted the votes? Dr. Sanjay Jain said that when we get a list from IOA Office and we sent letters on those address, I got return these 372. Dr. Dhillon said that how you know that the ballots which are left returned in IOA Office (333), does not include the members from your list of these 372. Dr. Jain said that I sent speed post in Jan-Feb to those members who don't have their phone numbers and got these letters returned. When I got speed post also returned, then I tallied these names from the list of 2500 and I found that their names were there who have casted vote. I have also shown these returned letters to committee also. Dr. Dhillon said that you want to say your letters have returned (not the ballots) and you are putting all the allegations on the basis of that only. So your allegation stands wrong. Dr. Jain said for all the conferences also we send the brochures and about 1500 brochures are returned back undelivered and also the same way the ballots also are returned back. Dr. Samir asked that from where you have procured the list of 2500 members who have casted votes. Dr. Jain said from IOA Office. He also said when Dr. Malhotra was there when the counting was on, the list was lying in front of him and from there I got it. Dr. Dhillon said just because it was lying there, you procured it. How can you take that, you were the contestant and it is illegal. Dr. Samir said it is breach of our privacy. How he can take this information from IOA Office? Dr. Jamal asked who provided the list to Dr. Rajesh Malhotra for conducting election. It was the then secretary IOA which were you, why you continued with such list despite knowing, it was your responsibility to see who is alive, who is not, whose address is incorrect and make a compiled corrected list. You kept these names purposely for that you will get a chance to play with them. And now you have started saying that there are issues with these returned ballots. Dr. Jain said I had resigned by that time, Dr. Bhuvan said that why did you not deleted those names in your 2.8 years of your tenure of Secretary. Dr. Dhillon said that you have posted letters to those fellows asking that you have voted or not, this is also a type of crime which you need to answer when the inquiry is set up in future, and in the court as this also a breach of secrecy. Dr Jain said yes inquiry should be like this only. He also

said that IJO in 2014 also not used to send journal to 2500 members because they used to send it and then it used to come back. They again send it consecutively for three times and it returns back, then they used to stop sending the journal to them. We corrected this and we brought this figure from 2500 to 1500. Dr. Dhillon said, we congratulate you for that. But the things are going beyond the discussion, please come back to the issue that you have insulted us again and again in every platform even on public platform which is very difficult to accept. Now just tell me that in 2014, you were not the secretary, Dr. Jain said I was secretary in 2015, 2016 and 2017. Dr. Dhillon continued and said that in 2014 . according to proof we had the returned number of undelivered ballots is 491, In 2015 this number was 55, so where the rests have gone. Again in 2016, this number was 144, where the rests have gone. And in 2017 when you were not the Secretary, the number of returned ballot suddenly goes upto 333, so now you tell me where those 200/250 ballots have gone during your tenure in 2015 and 2016. Dr. Jain said that I also want to know from M. Ramesh Pandey. Dr. Dhillon said that is what I want to ask you that if the only difference in returned ballots was only during your tenure. . Dr. Sudhir commented that Dr. Malhotra's points about his agony is well taken. He is heading very prestigious institute and is a man of repute and somebody had the audacity to call him to the police station. And now asking EC that I have been serving IOA for 15 years. Is there somebody who can say that my integrity is doubtful? I have done many conferences and tell me any one of them where I have been a defaulter. D. Dhiren Singh that this also wrong and it should not happen. Now Dr. Dhillon said that now we take to the vote how many of you feel that Dr. Sanjay Jain has done something wrong to the IOA. Majority raised their hands. Then he asked how many of you feel that Dr. Sanjay Jain has done no wrong to the IOA – no body supported.

..... Asked is there anything in between right or wrong, Dr. Dhillon said what do you means by in between? In between means that there is something wrong in IT part. Dr. Dhillon replied that everybody agrees that some IT issues are there. The wrong is he brought these things in public platform even though his plea was being heard and it was being taken to GB. Please do not confuse the issues that whether something wrong has been done to the website or he has taken the list from IOA House that is all given there. What is not acceptable is publically abusing a man who is heading AIIMS. PGI and other 10 people and the IOA as a whole. And vote is for asking - is the association maligned – yes or no and the EC unanimously said yes. Dr. Jain

said it is not so Sir, I have no doubt about the integrity of Dr. Rajesh Malhotra. Dr. Dhillon said that then why you filed the FIR against us. Dr. Jain said that this is just a routine. Dr. Dhillon said no, it is not so. This matter is now closed and to be taken to GB. We will have last comments from Dr. Ajmani. Dr. Jain objected by saying that nobody is saying anything to Mr. Ramesh Pandey where the last ballot comes. Dr. Dhillon said that I personally feel that conflict of interest is involved to misuse the post and this needs to be clarified. And now this matter is closed and asked Dr. Ajmani to make last comment. Dr. Ajmani said this matter is on for a long time and the dirty linen are being washed in public. Now I want to put some suggestions to avoid this. Dr. Dhillon said I add the last point of this agenda i.e. the reforms to be made to avoid such things to be repeated. Dr. Mantri said what is the final on this issue. Dr. Dhillon said that whole discussion is to be taken to GB. Dr. Mantri said what about the punishment for Dr. Sanjay Jain. Dr. Dhillon said that EC stands by what was decided previously to suspend his membership but we leave it to GBM. GBM to decide what is to be done. Dr. Naresh said he has accepted in this meeting that he has nothing against Dr. Malhotra and Dr. Dhillon. Dr. Dhillon said no it cannot be taken so as his FIR is still on and has not withdrawn it. Dr. Naresh said that we can note down the positive point. Dr. Ajmani continued we need to be intelligent while speaking, writing messages, avoid using adjectives in excitement. This has been happening, some of our members have been using such words which are not appreciable, it should not hurt the feelings of our fellow members, you should not be fighting, you should be contesting. We as a learned members should restrain from sending bias mails, what app messages to the members causing heart burn and displeasure. Dr. Dhillon added that despite that if anybody continues to do so his candidature should be suspended. Dr. Ajmani continued that there should be no attempt to wash their dirty linen in public. We should remain away from taking rash action for which we need to regret in future. Dr. Dhillon said that we will bring it all in electoral reforms. Dr. Dhiren Singh suggested we should give power to President, President-Elect, Past President and Secretary that whosoever is repeating mails from same portal should be blocked. Maximum 4 or 5 are enough. The official portal should not be used by a member to spread lies. Dr. Rajesh said that no one should use IOAELE for sending messages. Last words are for IOA and nobody can use it which was frequently used by one candidate. Dr. Dhillon said that your point is well taken and now Dr. Mohan Mantri to say something.

Dr. Mantri said that just avoid such problems, I have some grievances to put and if the EC takes the proper decision, we need not to go anywhere. I am putting certain points

Statements by Dr. Mohan Mantri:

(1) If I am telling anything for the election, it does not mean that I am against Dr. Meena and if I am telling about emails, it does not mean I am against Dr. Sanjay Jain. It does not matter whether I loose or win or any other candidate looses or wins, finally the IOA should win. Let us work together not for personal benefits but for the benefit of IOA.

(2) That any change in online address from the day of last rectification till the election is over for casting the online vote should not be done. This was the official order. House agreed.

(3) The election officer provided the list of the members to all the candidates and the vendor for the election before the election commenced. House agreed.

(4) Vendor or any other official has no access to the system when the list is uploaded and nobody has permission to change this information of this final list for the election (Online or offline). House agreed. If vendor has the access to change the address of any member for allowing one or many members for casting the vote is wrong or right, house said it is wrong.

Now I was talking to the helpline desk for some other reason and asked them that why the people are not voting. By mistake after the talk was over the mobile was left on by them and what were they talking with each other was recorded in my phone. When I went through that recording it was stated that they were talking that doctors are fools and they should have given the data from registration onward so the problem would not have arisen. One person on phone asked who was that, he said it was Dr. Mohan Mantri. Then other one said that he is not going to win. So I want to say that this system is not secure and the data is being changed on daily basis. I will give you all the proofs right now only. Dr. Dhillon asked what change has been made and asked him to tell clearly. Dr. Mantri said on the request of member both new mail ID and the mobile number were registered for online voting. Dr. Dhillon asked which member ID was changed, please mention. Dr. Mantri said that I will give you right now. Dr. Mantri continued that apart from that the numbers and email ID were changed on the request of another member not on the request of the

member himself. He also showed on the screen that on first registration for online voting, the member is showing unregistered but after changing the mail ID and phone number so these are the types of data which have been corrected after the election process has started. Dr. Dhillon asked whether the change has been made by IOA help desk, Dr. Mantri said because all these requests were made to IOA help desk only. He showed the case where the both the mail ID and the numbers which were not pre-registered were changed through request from a different mail ID. Dr. Dhillon said that it is not correct, and if this was done it is a very serious matter. Dr. Mantri continued, the most serious one is that he showed one registered number when you call, it says it is a wrong number. Somebody sends a request to web master and to change the number and it is changed and now the OTP is generated on same mobile number and the most serious part is that this mobile number does not belong to IOA member. Dr. Dhillon said that this point is also noted, and is serious. Dr. Mantri continued, I did not say who did it? I don't blame anyone. But I want EC to take proper action on this complaint. Dr. Dhillon said that even one example is sufficient. So now we have to take it up for discussion with EC. Dr. Thakkar asked what was written there in Hindi regarding some number all in your slide. Dr. Mantri replied that they were talking that this was Dr. Mohan Mantri, he is a candidate for vice-president, he is not going to win. I have seen his numbers. Dr. Dhillon said this a very serious allegation.

Dr. Dhillon said let me shorten your complaint in points

- (1) The mobile number was changed after the last date.
- (2) The other side on the phone is saying that I know the member who called, he is not winning
- (3) Mobile number and the email ID were changed after the last date of rectification on request of member himself
- (4) Mobile number and the email ID were changed after the last date of rectification on request of another member
- (5) In some case the number changed was not of an IOA member

Dr. Samir suggested on 5th point that the phone number changed can be of his son. Dr. Dhillon said that this is a separate issue, but if the number was changed, then it is a major issue.

Dr. Dhillon said that Dr. Mantri's allegations are taken very seriously. Dr. Dhillon also welcomes Prof. SS Yadav who just arrived in the meeting. Dr.

Yadav extended his apologies to the house for coming late as his flight was delayed. Dr. Dhillon asked Dr. Meena to give his version about all this. Dr. Meena replied that we kept an option that if a certain candidate wants to cast his vote and is a real voter and is not able to cast his vote because his mobile number is not correct and his OTP is going somewhere else, on his request, we can change it. Dr. Dhillon said that since you accept this, you may be right in your intention but it is wrong and his complaint is valid. Dr. Thakkar added that we cannot allow anyone to change anything. Dr. Dhillon said has the IOA allowed you to do so. Dr. Meena said that I allowed this in the interest of voter. Dr. Dhillon said No. This cannot be done at all. He concluded that what Dr. Mantri says that data was changed during the election is correct and the IOA IOA cannot allow this as his allegations are right i.e. No. 1, No. 2 is Dr. Meena says that he allowed certain genuine voters to cast their vote in the interest of voters for which I am sorry. Dr. Dhillon said this cannot be condoned. Dr. Meena accepted it. Dr. Dhillon continued saying in this situation how do we know that how many voters have been changed and how many have not been changed. So now we are in the trouble. This is breach in electoral conduct not done by you but the system so now I take it to house, as this is against the protocol and rules of the association. Somebody asked how many numbers are there, Dr. Dhillon replied that even one malpractice is enough. Dr. Thakkar asked who allowed the vendor to do so. Dr. Dhillon replied we are not going to go into blame game. We need to finalise this issue. So I ask Prof SS Yadav to give his opinion. Prof Yadav said that 4 days ago that there was a meeting of DOA and I was invited there. What pained me the most was that one of our very senior member discussed that what should be the method of election to select their members and I was shocked by listening from that senior member that let us not adopt the method followed by IOA. State chapter says that as a matter of fact they should follow IOA where they have swords in their hands. Second it may look odd that I belong to a generation where the selection used to happen by raising their hands in the GBM. You will have problems, problems and problems in this system, the reasons can be any or many. Though the system may look dynamic but the dynamisation may convert into dynamite. So I suggest to adopt the old procedure but the only thing you need to take care that whenever there is a election President and Secretary must ensure that only the members of IOA are available in the hall. Dr. Dhillon asked Dr. Yadav that should we declare the result or should we withheld the result and take it to GB or we declare this election null and void and take both these decisions to the

general body. Dr. Yadav said that G B is the supreme authority and let them take the decision. Dr. Dhillon said that now we will take vote on two points. One is should we declare the result of election right now and no. 2 is will not declare the result right now because there is a doubt about the electoral process and it should be declared null and void if the GB agrees. Dr. Jamal raised a question that if all the 4 contestants agree to Dr. Meena's genuine mistake considering this being a minimum percentage, can we declare the results now. The house unanimously said no to this. Dr. Dhillon said that we will have last comments from Dr. Taneja and then we will go for voting. Dr. Taneja said that what all is going for quite sometime is extremely painful because we have put hard work to built up this association. Now since our election officer Dr. Meena has admitted that he has allowed that thing which is a very serious part in the electoral system. For any election no change can be made once the election is on and if you do so then that electoral process stops there and it is totally taken as null and void. So it is my sincere request to EC is that you cannot declare the result. Dr. Dhillon summed up NO RESULT TODAY and EC recommends 2 things to GB

(1) Election is considered null and void and it should be held again whatever time they choose

(2) If GB thinks that this is a minor infarct and let the result be declared if all the candidates agree and sign to it.

Now the show of hands was done for point 1 and for point 2 but EC unanimously went for option one.

Dr. Samir said that my question is if fresh election is there then candidates will be same or new. Dr. Dhillon replied that everything will be new i.e. dates new, election officer new and candidates new. Dr. Jamal said that if this election process occurs again, please do not send mails to those who have no voting rights, as foreigners do not vote because this mail goes to British Orthopaedic Association or any other such body and they laugh on us. Dr. Dhillon said for our foreign members, we have to create a different system for getting their votes. We cannot deprive them of their voting rights. Dr. Dhillon said that these bureaucratic mails are not sent by IOA Office. It was sent by Dr. Sanjay Jain so it is the member who needs to behave responsibly.

26. Remunerations of IOA Officials

Presently 5 lakh remuneration is given to the President. Dr. Dhillon said that it is for the travel (not remuneration). Dr. Thakkar said they are put it as an honorarium in balance sheet. Was not it as travel expenses. Any other objection on remuneration to IOA Officials - NO

27. Letters from Members:

Dr. Atul Srivastava said District Early intervention Centre is that they have 14 specialist in one centre and the child is examined by them Specially for children below the age of 6 years. Out of all this one department orthopaedic and this is a Govt. of India programme comes under the Ministry of Health and family elfare. So out of that one of the department is orthopaedics and so we requested them to name it as INDI DIC. Indian Orthopaedic Association club foot clinics. They are ready to do it. There is no financial burden on IOA. The only thing we need to do is that our members need to provide free services to them. - PASSED.

27. Letter to Mr. Rajesh

I have deposited the report on 8th Nov but was not considered. Dr. Dhillon said we have already sent you can sit with Dr. Dharendra Singh and Dr. Ramesh Babu and finalise it. Dr. Rajesh said so you going to withheld the results of Jhunjhunwala trophy till the assessment is made. Dr. Dhillon said yes off course.

Dr. Atul said you can put you things with them and Dr. Ram Chadha will be coming day after tomorrow and will be finalized and we will then finalise the results of Jhunjhunwala trophy.

Dr. DK Taneja said that what about 22 No. agenda – Alliance with International Associations, Dr. Dhillon said we (EC) have agreed to IOA-WOC alliance. Dr. Taneja said but the project is not discussed. Dr. Dhillon said that EC has agreed. Dr. Taneja - FINE.

Letter to Dr. Pandey I..... S Barua to note the IOA hand course in 19-20 Jan 2019. PASSED

For Dr. Prakash Siledar about Sandeep Adke

He has been removed from Maharashtra Orthopaedic Association from 2011. He has also been removed from Solapur, his local body. Dr. Dhillon said what

you want from us. Sildar said that as it is just the information which being passed to IOA as the local body have requested us to do so. Dr. Dhillon said IOA will look whether he is eligible for IOA membership or not.

Dr. L Prakash has written a letter after a lot of negative email along with some other . Now he has written that he has some reports in GB in Kochi, it was said that he is suspended till he proves whether he is innocent or not. I was suspended but I was not asked to come to Indore and explain my point. So this time GB will decide whether we invite him in next GB to explain his point. The points are very simple (a) If he can prove he was not convicted (b) If he can prove that he is not debarred by the MCI then he can talk but he has to bring proof. He is also raising personal allegations. Dr SS Yadav said that it was decided that if Dr. Prakash name is in MCI register then he is a member of IOA. This needs to be confirmed as it is a very important point and EC cannot take its own decision. Dr. Dhillon said he has produced a letter that his name is in MCI register so should we listen to him? To this Dr. Yadav said it needs to be confirmed. We have written to MCI in Delhi and MCI Indore, let them say it is wrong or right then it can be decided. Dr. Yadav said it is a serious matter even if President has to send a messenger to Delhi MCI, he should do it to confirm.

Dr. Dhillon said we will not call him unless proven that his statements are genuine If IOA has written to MCI Delhi and MP Stall and we await their reply.

Dr. Sudhir Kapoor said he produced a letter which shows his registration in Bhopal. You cannot be registered in MCI unless you are registered in state medical council, was raised by Dr. Dhillon then Dr. Navin Thakkar pointed out that our constitution says either state or centre MCI registration is allowed because many CPC people are registered in either centre or state.

Dr. Dhillon asked Dr. Naveen what is your reply if he is a registered in state medical council then Dr. Naveen said you have to accept him. Then Dr. Atul said MCI state should state he is registered as an orthopaedic surgeon.

Dr. Naresh pointed out that if Dr. L Prakash comes with registration then he is back to this. Dr. Dhillon said, No, if he comes with MCI registration to us even than we have to investigate and then it is the GB call to take him back.

Dr. Jamal says that you investigate and find that his registration is correct then what to do? Dr. Dhillon said then GB should feel that he is competent to be

back. To this Dr. Jamal said that the very fact that he brings the registration is not enough to give him admission to IOA. Dr. Jamal says at Kochi it is worded that show your registration and you are back. Again Dr. Dhillon said, no, it is not like that.

Dr. Naresh Shetty wanted to know the status of Dr. L Prakash whether he is suspended or debarred. If he is suspended then we have a issue. Dr. Naresh said that let the language be very proper.

Dr. Sanjay Jain had 1981 registration in Bhopal after that he may have reapplied and without knowing anything MCI may have coincidentally given it and if they say we now have a proof and he is no longer registered then the matter is solved and if Delhi MCI says he is not registered then matter is solved but I have to ask the GB as answer to this letter. Dr. Dhillon said inform the GB and let it decide.

Dr. Sudhir Kapoor said that Dr. Sanjay Jain is maligning the officers of IOA. Somebody is maligning me day in and day out the same. Dr. L Prakash Can I request the members what action we propose against him now.

Dr. Dhillon answered that he is not a member now. What action we can take against him. Dr. Sudhir Kapoor said at that time he was suspended for one year and now debar him altogether. That suspension itself says that if cannot produce MCI registration then he is already debarred. Second point Dr. Kapoor said that one of the EC members have said that the integrity of Dr. Sudhir Kapoor is doubtful. I request Dr. Protyush Chatterjee to please let me know how my integrity is doubtful. I have served in executive for more than 15 years, quote the incident when I was dishonest.

Dr. Protyush said he is sorry if Dr. Kapoor has taken it personal. Yes in the last EC meeting I said that there is a case against you by Dr. John Ebnezar. I said that for all practical purposes, for fairness it will not be fair to include Dr. Kapoor in the enquiry committee to enquire the election mistakes.

Dr. Dhillon said that as per the law Dr. Kapoor cannot be guilty till proved. Just because the case is against him does not mean he is guilty.

Dr. Kapoor said he has spent 30 years in IOA and nobody can blame him like this. He is sending emails and every email saying my integrity is doubtful.

Dr. Meena said Dr. Sanjay has filed the case against whole IOA means that integrity of whole IOA is doubtful. You are innocent till proved guilty said Dr. Dhillon.

Formation of Legal and Grievances Cell

Dr. Atul said one important point is left i.e. formation of legal and grievance cell. As Dr. Yadav has rightly said that the reasons can be any and many. These litigations are going to happen year after year so we need to have a legal cell and grievance cell which has been circulated to all in the EC group of whatsapp by Dr. Atul Bahadur Singh and I think everybody has read that and we pass. The gist of the story is that any member who has any grievance goes to the cell first and try to solve that (Grievance cell will be of respectable people), if he does not agree with this then he goes to the court and if he loses in the court then his membership is permanently debarred. Dr. Mandeep said that now since he is doubting the integrity of the grievance cell also. D. Meena said that if he does not go to the court then what, to this Dr. Dhillon said it means he is satisfied with the result of grievance cell and he has no grievance now.

Second important point is now as we can foresee a re-election may or may not be. Any candidate who goes the way people have gone in this direction he should be even in the middle also, debarred from the election. Dr. Mandeep said even during the election he will be debarred from contesting.

Dr. Ajmani asks question that is it a right of a person being registered in MCI and become a member of association or is it an association who decides whether to make him a member or not. Dr. Dhillon said actually it is not a right to become a member of association. It is the right of association to accept him a member or not.

Dr Jamal requested to reformat the agenda for the upcoming GBM and please recirculate it and please ensure that the point regarding Dr. Sanjay is discussed before the agenda of the re-election because once you decide on his status on that basis if re-election comes then we have to decide whether he is permitted to contest the election or not.

Dr. Rajesh Gupta commented regarding the membership of IOA. A person who is in Army can he become a member of IOA? Can state recommend his name? To this Dr. Dhillon answered that if he is in army, he can apply from any state.

Dr. SS Yadav said that he should be recommended from Army to that Dr. Dhillon said that there is no chapter of the Army and our rule states that any head of the state chapter can recommend the name. Therefore, any state can recommend his name.

Dr. SS Yadav reminded that we must listen to the people whether Dr. Sanjay is suspended or debarred. This is very important. The point raised by Dr. Jamal, Dr. Dhillon agreed to bring the point of Dr. Sanjay before the agenda of re-election.

In the last Dr. Sardar wanted to know from the chair that what corum is required for GBM to be valid? To this Dr. Dhillon answered 300 members. In case the election in question and re-election occurs and it goes further for 3-4 months then in GB you have to make certain procedures so that it can be done. To this Dr. Dhillon answered that they will ask the GB to authorize the President and 4 or 6 people to select election officer and to make protocol. The new executives to take the call.

Dr. SS Yadav said in Hyderabad a committee was formed” academic”, I hope EC is aware of it. Dr. PS Maini was there, Dr. Taneja and myself were the members. There is no such academic committee now. IOA is a academic organization and academics comes first, other issues debarring, suspension all come later. Academic committee must be there. We wrote 3 letter to the state chapters and not even a single reply was there to see what research is going on in that state. Therefore, it is very important to have academic committee that to show what research is going on.

A group photograph was taken and meeting ended with National Anthem.

Thanks.